افغانستان آزاد \_ آزاد افغانستان

## AA-AA

چو کشور نباشد تن من مبیاد بدین بوم وبر زنده یک تن میباد همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com	afgazad@gmail.com
European Languages	زبان های اروپائی

http://www.globalresearch.ca/how-fascist-capitalism-functions-the-case-of-greece/5462072?print=1

## How "Fascist Capitalism" Functions: The Case of Greece

By Eric Zuesse

July 12, 2015



There is "democratic capitalism", and there is "fascist capitalism". What we have today is fascist capitalism; and the following will explain how it works, using as an example the case of Greece.

Mark Whitehouse at Bloomberg headlined on 27 June 2015, "If Greece Defaults, Europe's Taxpayers Lose," and presented his 'news' report, which simply assumed that, perhaps someday, Greece will be able to get out of debt without defaulting on it. Other than his unfounded assumption there (which assumption is even in his headline), his report was accurate. Here is what he reported that's accurate:

He presented two graphs, the first of which shows Greece's governmental debt to private investors (bondholders) as of, first, December 2009; and, then, five years later, December 2014. This graph shows that, in almost all countries, private investors either eliminated or steeply reduced their holdings of Greek government bonds during that 5-year period. (Overall, it was reduced by 83%; but, in countries such as France, Portugal, Ireland, Austria, and Belgium, it was reduced closer to 100% — all of it.)

In other words: by the time of December 2009, word was out, amongst the aristocracy, that only suckers would want to buy it from them, so they needed suckers and took advantage of the system that the aristocracy had set up for governments to buy aristocrats' bad bets — for governments to be suckers when private individuals won't. Not all of it was sold directly to governments; much of it went instead indirectly, to agencies that the aristocracy has set up as basically transfer-agencies for passing junk to governments; in other words, as middlemen, to transfer unpayable debt-obligations to various governments' taxpayers. Whitehouse presented no indication as to whom those investors sold that debt to, but almost all of it was sold, either directly or indirectly, to Western governments, via those middlemen-agencies, so that, when Greece will default (which it inevitably will), the taxpayers of those Western governments will suffer the losses. The aristocracy will already have wrung what they could out of it.

Who were these governments and middlemen-agencies? As of January 2015, they were: 62% Euro-member governments (including the European Financial Stability Facility); 10% International Monetyary Fund (IMF), and 8% European Central Bank; then, 17% still remained with private investors; and 3% was owned by "other."

Whitehouse says: "Ever since the region's sovereign-debt crisis first flared in 2010, European nations have been stepping in for Greece's private creditors — largely German and French banks — by lending the country [Greece] the money to pay them off. Thanks to this bailout [of 'largely German and French banks'], banks and [other private] investors have much less at stake than before."

So: what got bailed-out was private investors, not 'the Greek people' (such as the 'news' media assert, or try to suggest). For example, a reader's comment to Whitehouse's article says: "A reasonable assumption is that a large part of the Greek debt to the Germans was the result of Greek consumption of German goods and services bought with the German provided credit. In that case, the Germans have lost the Greek goods and services that could have potentially been bought with the money that is owed to them." But this is entirely false: that "consumption" was by the aristocracy, not by the public, anywhere or at any time. After all: It's the aristocracy that get bailed-out — not the public, anywhere. (The same thing is happening now in Ukraine.)

The assumption that the aristocratically-owned press want to convey is, like the sucker there said: consumers, and not bondholders, receive these bailouts from taxpayers. They actually receive none of it. They didn't receive the loans, and they certainly aren't receiving any of the bailouts. In fact, the contrary: Greek consumers have been getting hit so hard by the aristocracy's system (dictatorial capitalism, otherwise known as fascism), that they're suffering an enormous depression — this is even a condition, a requirement, of such "bailouts." It's called "austerity," and it's imposed by the IMF. And yet, millions of suckers go for the inference that the aristocrats' 'news' media convey. After all: would people such as Mark Whitehouse have been hired or keep their jobs at major 'news' media such as Bloomberg 'News' if they didn't convey this false impression? He's just doing his job; he's doing what he's paid to do. It's enormously profitable for his employer and for "the investment community" worldwide.

The whole system is a money-funnel, from the public, to the aristocracy.

The independent economics-writer, Charles Hugh Smith — who was one of only 29 economists worldwide who predicted the 2008 crash in advance and who explained accurately how and why it was going to occur — has provided a more honest description of the sources of Greece's depression:

- 1. *Goldman Sachs conspired with* [actually: were hired by] *Greece's corrupt kleptocracy to conjure up an illusion of solvency and fiscal prudence so Greece could join the Eurozone* [despite Greek aristocrats' massive tax-evasion, which created the original problem].
- 2. Vested interests and insiders gorged on the credit being offered by German and French [and other] banks, enriching themselves to the tune of tens of billions of euros, which were transferred to private accounts in Switzerland at the first whiff of trouble. When informed of this, Greek authorities took no action; after all, why track down your cronies and force them to pay taxes when tax evasion is the status quo for financial elites?
- 3. If Greece had defaulted in 2010 when its debt was around 110 billion euros, the losses would have fallen on the banks that had foolishly lent the money without proper due diligence or risk management. This is what should have happened in a market economy: those who foolishly lent extraordinary sums to poor credit risks take the resulting (and entirely predictable) losses.

The Greek Government currently owes 323 billion euros — almost three times as much. The debt rose 213 billion euros, during 5 years of IMF-imposed "austerity" — the Greek depression.

What even Smith fails to recognize is that this money was not 'foolishly lent.' (No more, for example, than the Wall Street banks that had tanked the U.S. economy but grew even larger by doing so, had 'foolishly lent' it.) The foreign lenders were deceived by lies from the Greek aristocrats' agent, Goldman Sachs, but, even so, were ultimately able to sell their garbage to Eurozone taxpayers, not always at a loss as compared to what they had originally paid for those bonds; and the original owners of those bonds were receiving interest from those bonds, throughout. Even Smith has been somewhat duped by the aristocracy's blame-the-victim basic message, that the people who walked off with this money were the Greek public — not Greek aristocrats.

Another well-informed economics-writer, Peter Schiff, likewise is suckered by that false message from aristocrats. He writes: "It's hard to feel sorry for the [Greek] people standing in lines at the ATMs when they knew this was coming every day for the last four years." As if they necessarily did. But, even though some did, the accusation that those people are to blame is still off-base. Schiff, a libertarian, goes on to say: "When you borrow more than you can pay back and your creditors have cut you off there are no good options. Your lifetomorrow is going to be worse than it is today; it is just a question of how you want to take the pain." He' too, implicitly cast blame at the public, not at the aristocrats, who actually have been bailed-out *by* the public.

In way of contrast, democratic capitalism is bailing out only the public, when times go bad, just like FDR did during the Great Depression, and like socialist countries (Norway, Sweden, Denmark, and Finland, being examples) still do. The aristocracy have managed to fool the public to equate aristocrats' fascism with 'capitalism,' and to equate democracy with 'socialism' (meaning, to them and their suckers, communism, or even fascism itself), so that the public will falsely think that what we now have is 'the free market' — something that cannot even possibly exist, anywhere, because *every* economy (every market) is based upon laws that determine who owns what, and who owes what, and under what circumstances, in accord with what laws and economic regulations, all of it being subject to the police power of the State. This 'free market' is all a big aristocratic con. It's just as big as the con that the present Greek government — which had promised, and whose voters a few days ago reaffirmed with a 61% to 39% vote for no more "austerity" — are now delivering, to their victims.

This is not democratic capitalism. It is not socialism. It is, instead, fascism. It is dictatorial capitalism. We have it in the United States. And it predominates also in the Eurozone.