

افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA

چو کشور نپاشد تن من مباد بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مباد
همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com

afgazad@gmail.com

European Languages

زبانهای اروپایی

Viktor Mikhin

21.04.2025

Netanyahu's Failed Visit to the U.S.

On April 6, Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu arrived in Washington for what was billed as a “crucial” meeting with Donald Trump.

The hastily arranged visit, prompted by the U.S. imposition of new tariffs on Israeli goods, was meant to address key issues: trade disputes, the war in Gaza, hostage releases, relations with Iran, and the conflict with the ICC. However, as reported by Western and Israeli media, the talks ended prematurely without any tangible results, exposing deep divisions between the two leaders.

Many analysts argue that the visit's failure underscored the fragility of the Trump-Netanyahu alliance

Initial reports from Israeli and American outlets were optimistic, with Netanyahu expressing hope that the “special relationship” between the U.S. and Israel would lead to progress on tariffs and hostages.

Western and Israeli Media Reactions

The outcome, however, was far from triumphant. Reports on X (formerly Twitter) and by the Israeli Telegraph Agency described the visit as ending “abruptly and somewhat suspiciously,” with no substantive agreements reached. Western media, including NPR and Fox News, noted that Trump made no commitments on tariff reductions, instead focusing on broader geopolitical issues like Iran's nuclear program and U.S. military campaigns against Yemen's Ansarullah. Israeli outlets, such as «The Times of Israel», called the lack of progress “disappointing,” with some commentators suggesting Netanyahu had overestimated his influence on Trump.

During their Oval Office meeting, both leaders addressed the press, but their remarks yielded little in terms of concrete outcomes. Netanyahu reiterated Israel's commitment to destroying Hamas and securing the release of Israeli hostages, while Trump hinted at possible direct talks with Iran but offered no guarantees on tariffs. A joint press conference, widely expected, never materialized—further fueling speculation that the meeting had been cut short due to disagreements or a lack of progress.

Western media were quick to highlight the visit's shortcomings, calling it a missed opportunity for both leaders. The New York Times observed that Trump and Netanyahu had relied on “familiar scripts” to navigate domestic and international challenges but noted that their collaboration had failed to produce meaningful results. The report emphasized that Trump's tariff policies, which spared no major ally, reflected a transactional approach to diplomacy—one that left even close partners like Israel vulnerable.

The Washington Post echoed this sentiment, stating that Netanyahu's return to Washington came at a “more difficult moment” than his previous visit in February 2025. The paper noted that Trump's refusal to lower tariffs, combined with his administration's aggressive stance on Iran and Yemen, had put Netanyahu in a tough spot. Western critics also expressed concern over the absence of any discussion on Palestinian rights or a two-state solution, with some accusing Trump of endorsing Netanyahu's hardline policies without demanding accountability.

Israeli news outlet Walla, citing sources close to the Israeli delegation, went further, calling the Netanyahu-Trump meeting “perhaps the most failed summit” between the two leaders. According to the report, Netanyahu returned to Tel Aviv empty-handed, having made no progress on key bilateral issues—including the long-awaited reduction of trade tariffs.

Political analyst Barak Ravid described Netanyahu's position as “weakened and humiliated,” noting that the Israeli prime minister had been more of a symbolic figure than an active negotiator. “He served as a backdrop to Trump's broader agenda,” Ravid remarked, suggesting that Trump had deliberately sidelined Israeli priorities in favor of re-engaging with Iran.

Israel Hayom, a publication typically supportive of Netanyahu, did not shy away from acknowledging the tension and frustration visible on the faces of Israeli officials throughout the visit. Meanwhile, The Times of Israel called the summit “deeply disappointing,” particularly in light of Trump's apparent willingness to negotiate directly with Tehran. In Jerusalem, Al-Quds interpreted this move as a strategic shift with potentially far-reaching implications for Israel's security interests.

Political Fallout

The repercussions were swift. New Dimor, spokesperson for opposition leader Yair Lapid, delivered a scathing assessment of the trip, urging Israeli media to report the unvarnished truth. “This was one of the most humiliating moments for any Israeli prime minister,” Dimor said. “It led to Israel’s public shaming on the international stage without a single diplomatic victory.”

He added: “Trump used Netanyahu merely as a prop to lend symbolic legitimacy to upcoming U.S.-Iran talks.”

Posts on X from Israeli users and media personalities amplified this disappointment. Some called the trip “the most disastrous in Netanyahu’s history of U.S. visits.” Others pointed to the “suspicious haste” of his departure, suggesting behind-the-scenes tensions or disagreements with Trump. Trending topics in Israel reflected a mix of frustration and cynicism, with many questioning whether Netanyahu’s foreign trips were more about personal survival than national interests.

A Strategic and Substantive Failure

When the dust settles, the fallout from this botched visit may extend beyond a single trip or a short-term political embarrassment. With shifting dynamics in Washington and a potential thaw in U.S.-Iran relations, Israel risks further isolation and may be forced to reconsider both its regional strategy and its approach to the U.S.

Netanyahu’s Washington visit was a missed opportunity that exposed the limits of the Trump-Netanyahu alliance. Instead of strengthening ties or achieving concrete results, the trip ended in disappointment—with no progress on tariffs, hostages, or broader strategic issues. Western media criticized Trump’s negotiation tactics, while Israeli outlets lamented Netanyahu’s failure to deliver, raising questions about his leadership and Israel’s future.

As both leaders face domestic and international pressure, the aftermath of this visit serves as a cautionary tale about the dangers of prioritizing politics over substance.

For now, the special relationship between the U.S. and Israel remains intact—but its durability will depend on whether future meetings yield more than just rhetoric and photo ops. Until then, the abrupt and suspicious end to Netanyahu’s Washington trip will stand as a symbol of unfulfilled promises and squandered opportunities in a region already rife with tension and uncertainty.

Many analysts argue that the visit’s failure underscored the fragility of the Trump-Netanyahu alliance. Rather than solidifying ties or advancing key issues, the talks only deepened divisions. If the U.S. moves closer to Iran through renewed negotiations, Israel risks being

sidelined—or even isolated. The “special relationship” between the two nations endures for now, but the alliance’s future hinges on whether their leaders can offer more than empty promises and staged handshakes. And Netanyahu’s premature departure will remain a symbol of his diplomatic defeat in a region where tensions are already high enough.

Viktor Mikhin, April 20, 2025

Viktor Mikhin, Corresponding Member of the Russian Academy of Natural Sciences, Middle East expert.