

افغانستان آزاد – آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA

چو کشور نباشد تن من مباد بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مباد
همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com

afgazad@gmail.com

European Languages

زبانهای اروپایی

ROB URIE

23.03.2025

US and Russia Speak on Ceasefire, Israel Advances Ethnic Cleansing in Gaza

On March 18, 2025, US President Donald Trump had an extended telephone call with the President of Russia, Vladimir Putin, regarding how to end the US proxy war in Ukraine. The apparent outcome, as reported in the (functioning) foreign press, was an agreement that Russia would not attack Ukrainian energy infrastructure during the period of a ceasefire, while the US will end weapons shipments and intelligence sharing with Ukraine for thirty days once an agreement is reached.

Three years prior, in March, 2022— mere weeks after the Russians launched their SMO (Special Military Operation), Russia and Ukraine negotiated an agreement to end the war. In swift reaction, the Americans dispatched British Prime Minister Boris Johnson to end the talks so that the sides could get back to the war. US Under-Secretary for European Affairs, Victoria Nuland, later stated that the reason that the Americans ended the agreement was because it would have required the US removing offensive weapons targeting Russia from Ukraine (link was disappeared).

But even the New York Times eventually got around to reporting the agreement (link above). At the time that the deal was written, and before the Americans and the Brits ended it, somewhere around one million Ukrainians who are now dead were alive. The nation of Ukraine was relatively undestroyed. And Europe wasn't yet channeling the interwar period in which WWII was conceived. A peace deal in March, 2022 would have tremendously benefitted Ukraine.

For Americans who are reluctant to accept this framing, following the launch of Russia's SMO, three foreign leaders, Angela Merkel (Germany), Francois Hollande (France), and Naftali Bennett (Israel), publicly stated that the Minsk Accords I and II had been

deceptions intended to keep the Russians talking while Ukraine was armed by the US, the Ukrainian army was trained by the CIA, and advanced CIA facilities were built on Ukraine's border with Russia in order to facilitate American attacks against Russia.

In the present, the domestic political back-and-forth in the US has returned to competing personalities rather than continuity and differences in policy between administrations. Readers may recall the announcement in 2023 from the Biden administration regarding the US-based corporations that had negotiated participation in 'rebuilding Ukraine.' This is roughly analogous to Donald Trump's grotesque effort to secure an economic gain from the destruction of Ukraine.

(If links are missing, thank the American IC (intelligence community). In contrast to three hundred years of theories of what liberalism is, actual American history has been systematically erased from the internet. Relevant links from credible sources did exist or what is written here wouldn't have been).

The framing of the current peace deal appears intended to give both Trump and Putin domestic political cover. Should Mr. Putin passively accept Minsk-like deceptions yet again, he would lose a significant portion of the 88% approval rating that he has with the Russian people. And much of Donald Trump's support in the 2024 election was generated by his promise to end the war in Ukraine. That his offset is genocide and WWII in Israel and the Middle East defines the moral and geopolitical tenor of the US in 2025. Biden was planning exactly the same.

The next step for a US – Russian peace deal in Ukraine is for Donald Trump to appoint negotiators, if he hasn't already done so. Real estate developer and US Special Envoy to the Middle East, Steve Witkoff, will likely replace US Secretary of State Marco Rubio and Mike Waltz in the effort. That 98% of Mr. Trump's 'team' is channeling CIA – Biden administration talking points with respect to the war illustrates the bi-partisan nature of US foreign policy.

Readers will recall the assertion of interests put forward by the permanent state in the US that greeted Mr. Trump's first term. Russiagate was a response from a powerful faction of the permanent government in the US to Trump, as Commander in Chief, delving into US foreign policy vis a vis Ukraine. Speculating freely, Mr. Trump's agreement to re-launch the Biden administration's genocide in the Middle East likely represents a trade-off with the CIA for ending the war in Ukraine, which the US has lost.

With Russian interests in Syria and Iran likely to run up against US actions in 'Greater Israel,' the US settling up with Russia in Ukraine is but one piece of a larger plan. According

to military analysts Scott Ritter and Douglas MacGregor, the US is out of weapons for use in the type of war being planned. (Retired) Colonel MacGregor surmised that the US has enough weapons to last 7 – 10 days. This lack of conventional weapons likely explains the Biden administration’s threatened escalation to the use of nuclear weapons in its waning days.

At present, Israel has re-launched the US – Israeli genocide in Gaza, as Israeli settlers continue to ‘clear’ Palestinians from the West Bank. Mr. Trump’s plan— implied in his looking afar for nations weak enough to be arm-twisted into accepting displaced Palestinians, is amongst the most monstrous in human history. To understand the problem, imagine that if it were the Israelis being forcibly relocated to Sudan? How about the Americans?

The veracity of Mr. Trump’s fabulist nonsense regarding the ‘really nice place’ that the Palestinians will be moved to (if anywhere can be found that will accept them) is belied by the states that his administration is approaching to receive them. The precise number of Trump Towers currently existing in Sudan, Somalia and Somaliland is zero. This is also the number of times that Mr. Trump has visited these nations.

As with the proxy war in Ukraine, how much farther would Israel get with its genocide without support from the US? In theory, campaign contributions from rich supporters of Israel determine US policy towards it (the Israel lobby). And this framing is occasionally useful in identifying local villains. But if Israel really doesn’t need the US to complete its genocide, why is the US not only participating, but financing, supplying and coordinating the Israeli military campaigns towards this end?

As of Wednesday morning, news reports have the US – Israelis killing four-hundred Palestinians in a single strike in Palestine. This is a continuation of the US genocide that Joe Biden launched, and that Donald Trump now has his name on. The continuity of policy should render distinctions made between the branches of the American uniparty moot. If ‘the left’ wants to keep political struggle in the realm of electoral politics that are controlled by the uniparty, have at it. The bet here is that the blue team can achieve zero votes in 2028. Waiting for Mr. Trump to implode so that ‘Concentration Camp Pete’ gets his turn reads like a nation is freefall.

MARCH 21, 2025

Rob Urie is an artist and political economist. His book [Zen Economics](#) is published by CounterPunch Books.