افغانستان آزاد ــ آزاد افغانستان

یشور نباشد تن من مبیاد سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن م از آن به که کشور به دشمن

www.afgazad.com	afgazad@gmail.com
European Languages	زبانهای اروپائی

By Edgar Palazio Galo 29.12.2023

The Yankee Empire and Israeli Zionism



Sources: Rebellion

More than two months have passed since the beginning of the genocide against the Palestinian population in Gaza, a conflict that has de facto affected the Palestinian people since 1948. To date, there has been no evidence of any binding action by Western nations to intervene in defense of Palestinian lives. Although it is relevant to note that on Tuesday, December 12, during a special emergency session, the United Nations General Assembly approved, with a majority of 153 votes in favor and 23 abstentions, a resolution urging a ceasefire. The United States, Israel and eight other countries voted against this initiative. Despite the fact that the aforementioned resolution is considered politically and morally significant, it lacks binding action, unlike the resolutions emanating from the United Nations Security Council. In this area, on December 8, the United States vetoed a ceasefire resolution approved by the majority of the members of the Council, repeating a similar action carried out on October 18, finally on Friday, December 22, the UN Security Council approved a resolution calling for speeding up the delivery of humanitarian aid to the civilian population of Gaza. but with pressure from the United States to remove several points from the document, including a condemnation of all violations of International Humanitarian Law or indiscriminate attacks against civilians.

Within the historical context of Security Council resolutions, the U.S. empire has exercised its veto power on up to 45 occasions, thereby obstructing resolutions condemning the occupation of the Palestinian territories and the crimes committed against their inhabitants.

The collective West has no ethical and moral boundaries

The collective West lacks ethical and moral boundaries, a characteristic that has been intrinsic to its nature since ancient times. It is imperative to underline that, throughout humanity's shared history, the West has served as the epicenter of manifestly inhumane political systems. Within it, slavery and capitalism were born in their imperial phase, and fascism and apartheid were ideologically nourished. Since 1948, this collective West has actively supported Israeli Zionism, a hybrid phenomenon that fuses elements of colonialism, apartheid, and fascism; all of this imbued with the belief that they are the chosen people of a God who, according to the narrative, promised a land that does not belong to them and in whose eagerness they commit crimes against humanity.

Amid the rubble that lies as mute witnesses to the ravages, Gaza stands and will remain an inescapable place in the collective memory of humanity, an everyday scenario where the genocidal actions of the Israeli army cause the loss of civilian lives that have been the most fragile victims. Children, innocent petals of hope, have fallen like raindrops in a desert landscape. Their laughter, drowned out by the rumble of explosions, now resonates like melancholy echoes that reverberate in the corridors of humanity's collective memory.

Communication technologies, by defying censorship restrictions, facilitate the dissemination and denunciation on a global scale of the atrocities perpetrated by the armed forces of Israeli Zionism. The shedding of the blood of innocents raises a profound challenge to the ethical foundations of humanity. Human rights, selectively used by the Western powers to justify the foundations of the imperial international system, now manifest their total hypocrisy, detaching, together with the means of information manipulation at their service, the last vestiges of decorum and shame that perhaps still remained.

To date, a total of 27,137 people have died, of which 10,517 are children. In addition, the death of 93 journalists is regretted. The magnitude of the crisis is reflected in the figure of 1.8 million displaced, while the impact on infrastructure is catastrophic, with 64,440 homes completely destroyed. Likewise, 1,459 industrial facilities have been devastated, affecting productive and economic capacity.

The consequences of this context are also manifested in the religious sphere, with 176 mosques damaged and 4 churches affected. The health sector is experiencing significant losses, with 222 doctors and health personnel killed, and 251 injured. Medical infrastructure is compromised with 23 hospitals damaged and 56 clinics affected, limiting the ability to respond to health emergencies. Mobility and rapid response are reduced with the disruption of 51 ambulances.

These figures represent not only a devastating quantitative reality, but also an urgent call for international attention and the mobilization of humanitarian resources to address the crisis in all its dimensions. The magnitude of the human losses, the destruction of key infrastructures and the impact on vital sectors of society demand coordinated and effective responses to alleviate the suffering of the affected population. Beyond statistics and reports, the crisis in Gaza has left invisible traces in the very fabric of humanity.

The genesis of the original accumulation of colonialism and capitalism was forged at the expense of crimes perpetrated against vulnerable populations in various regions of the world; in Africa, Asia, Latin America and the Caribbean. It was a disastrous process that resulted in the dispossession, suffering and annihilation of entire peoples, the consequences of which still resonate in contemporary social and economic structures. The legacy of these colonial and neocolonial phases is palpably manifested in the persistent injustice and inequality that characterize global relations between nations and regions. The Gaza Strip, as a microcosm of these historical dynamics, encapsulates the tragedy of a conflict fueled by imperialist greed and indifference to human dignity.

Imperialism and Zionism: The Same Bloody Stock

On December 18, John Kirby, spokesman for the White House National Security Council, spoke out about Israel's crimes, saying, "We have not identified any evidence to suggest that the Israelis are targeting a war and considering it a tactical and operational necessity to go out and massacre innocent people." This monumental expression of cynicism evokes comparisons to the earlier expressions of Madeleine Albright, who served as secretary of state during Bill Clinton's administration. In 1996, Albright was the subject of an interview by CBS's Lesley Stahl. In that context, Stahl raised the crucial question about

the loss of child life in Iraq due to the economic sanctions program imposed by the United States. A U.N. study revealed that more than 500,000 Iraqi children lost their lives during this phase. Stahl's question was straightforward: "We have heard that half a million Iraqi children have died. That's more than the children who died in Hiroshima. Is that price worth paying?" Madeleine Albright's response was lapidary in its coldness: "(...) We think the price is worth it."

This episode underscores the position held by the leaders of the Yankee empire in relation to the humanitarian consequences of their policies and human sacrifices as a justifiable means to achieve their geopolitical goals. The evocation of these events serves as a shocking reminder, revealing the criminal and immoral dimension of the empire and its spawn, Israeli Zionism, a system that, in its eagerness for expansion and domination, has left a trail of suffering and desolation in its wake

Humanity urgently requires the establishment of a new multipolar system.

The crisis in Gaza has profound and multifaceted human consequences that transcend the geographical boundaries of the region. This process implies not only a change in power structures, but also a deep commitment to international cooperation and the construction of a sustainable and equitable future for all.

To the extent that we embrace this vision, we can move toward a global paradigm that reflects the diversity, collaboration, and resilience needed to meet the challenges of the 21st century. Only through a critical analysis of these historical roots and a call for ethical responsibility can we hope for a significant change in the trajectory of humanity, in the hope of building a future where human dignity prevails over the oppression and systemic violence fostered by the Yankee empire.

The notion of multipolarity reflects the need for equity and equitable distribution, recognizing the diversity of actors and perspectives on the international stage as a response to the imbalances inherent in the current system, where the concentration of power fosters tensions and conflicts.

Multipolarity, by encouraging the active participation of multiple actors, can facilitate the creation of shared alliances and strategies to address these common challenges, as well as the need to establish effective global governance mechanisms.

* Associate Professor, UNAN Managua; Social Scientist; Extension.

Rebelión has published this article with the author's permission under a <u>Creative</u> Commons license, respecting his freedom to publish it in other sources.

Rebelion 28.12.2023