

AA-AA	
بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مسباد از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم	چو کشور نباشد تن من مباد همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم
www.afgazad.com	afgazad@gmail.com
European Languages	زبانهای اروپائی

By Luis E. Sabini Fernández | Palestine and the Middle East 10.09.2023

Israel now subjugated, discourse as an inversion of truth



Sources: Rebellion

https://revistafuturos.noblogs.org/

Highly illustrative is the "moral reaction" that has been inflicted on Zionists scandalized by the Biden administration's novel demands on the State of Israel regarding "Palestinian rights." We fear that Biden himself must also be scandalized. But it is an expression of the times, so democratic, so pluralistic, so anti-racist, so attached to "right thinking"... this "epochal air" has put Biden and his progressive team in this ideological and tactical aubergine.

We no longer have a Teddy Roosevelt who chose the policy of the stick to straighten the row of satellite nations, we no longer have a Winston Churchill who boasted of gassing "retobated negroes" or bombing their villages; We no longer have (at least in the political arena), a purebred *WASP* that proclaims with ethical, religious and scientific foundations that God has entrusted the white race with the task of guiding and/or domesticating the other races (or removing them from the middle, if they bother more than necessary).

A scandalized Caroline puts it bluntly: "State Department report categorically denies that the Jewish state has the right to impose its laws on Arab citizens."

Caroline continues: "See, for example, the section in the report about Israel's efforts to combat illegal takeovers of Bedouins in southern Israel. According to the Israeli NGO Regavim which documents illegal Arab constructions: the Israeli Bedouin minority has occupied land in the Negev area larger than Jerusalem, Tel Aviv and Bersheva united... Some 82,1 Bedouins – less than 150% of the Israeli population – have occupied some 60,99 acres [232,100 ha]. The other \sim % of Israel resides on some \sim , \sim acres [just under <,< ha]. Caroline uses the Regavim comparison but does not say that what the Bedouins inhabit is a desert in which with minimalism humans survive there, and that the other millions who inhabit Israel do so in other radically different, urban, industrial conditions.

According to Hashomer Hadahash, another Israeli NGO, 'which protects Israeli rural lands from Arab agricultural terrorism [sic], Bedouins have become bandits demanding protection pay.'

Caroline takes the discourse with determination to the inversion of what has really happened. If it were not historically despicable, the construction of such a libretto should be applauded.

Let's review: Caroline sees "Israel's efforts to combat illegal takeovers of Bedouins in southern Israel." However, the Bedouins inhabited that region – the Negev desert – a few centuries before the Zionists in the twentieth century decided to appropriate that territory. Caroline speaks of "illegal" takeovers because the Bedouins have not used the right of the occupier; Undoubtedly, ancestral common sense would never have advised them to use that right, because the right of the occupier does not apply to the exercise of the occupied: the Bedouins occupy because they know for sure or from lived experience with

colonialism, that the judicial claims of the "originals" do not exist; if they exist, they are not recognized.

So Palestinians in general, Bedouin or not, lack any legal protection in Israel; That is why Palestinians whose land was taken from them (and usually much more) have not been recognized any rights in Israel, despite all the "international" provisions in favor of refugees, which oblige states to various reparations, which Israel has never fulfilled.

Even the Israeli newspaper *Haaretz* has reported that "95% of the water available in the Gaza Strip would be undrinkable and would be mixed with sewage and pesticides."

Real things, Sancho! Mentioning "Arab terrorism" so many times without pointing out the triggers; What Zionism has done over the decades and now the centuries is precisely to exercise terrorism on the Palestinian Arab population, to continue dispossessing them of their land; uprooting some centenarian orange trees, vines and olive trees from rennet; dumping sewage from their populations into the coastal land where, for example, the population of the Gaza Strip lives; preventing Palestinian farmers and villagers from hoarding scarce rainwater and applying such "tourniquets". Invading their villages, which Palestinians maintain with attachment to their small-scale crops treated with care, so distant from the agro-industrial projects that are promoted in modern Israel, loaded with agrotoxins.

This curious invocation of human rights by systematic and long-standing violators is an example of how difficult it is to reach agreements with a certain fairness and dignity.

What has triggered this wave of complaints, warnings, counterclaims? A remark, barely, of US President Biden about Israeli actions towards the Bedouins, for example, "ignoring their semi-nomadic way of life".

There are, however, other points of friction, which could explain so much annoyance.

Pramila Jayapal, a member of the US House of Representatives, has short-circuited the role of the little boy who asked loudly in the parade why is the king naked? Then, the truth became inevitable, irrepressible.

Indian-born Democrat Jayapal, a Moroccha, said one word: that Israel was "racist." Just that.

In his chamber came many other Democrats to deny such a statement and dedicated themselves to passing their hand on the back of the entity, no longer mythical but biblical, that they have sponsored and protected (reversing the usual relationships, that biblical entity has fed them to the vast majority of US congressmen in the form of always generous gifts).

In the Democratic armies a quartet of women critical of Israel's conduct was forged a few years ago, which has recently become eight members (now mixed), baptized as "the Squadron." But let's remember that the Democratic congressmen in the US are now (who are in the minority) 212. And that making a simple rule of three, we see that the Squad "does not even reach 4% of that legislative "body" ...

But Caroline Glick's indignation is unrestrained and elevates the discourse as an inversion of truth to new heights.

He argued that: "Biden has inserted himself into the Israeli domestic fights about the judicial processes as never before the US government has done before."

Glick's statement is presumably true; What is striking is the commentator's militant blindness to even glimpse whether Israelis have inserted themselves into American domestic quarrels over an immense number of issues; violence in Muslim countries, false reports of weaponry in "unfriendly" countries, the EdI murders of American citizens such as Rachel Corrie or Palestinian-American journalist Shireen Abu Akleh; Israeli territorial expansion during U.S. presidential visits; Israeli corporate control of the U.S.-Mexico border, with "assistance" from, say, the Golan Group, are just a few examples of Israeli influence on the lives and decisions of the U.S. and its inhabitants.

Some researchers go much further and speak of a real American dependence or submission at the hands of Israeli decision-makers. See, for example, the approach of Gilad Atzmon, himself Jewish: "America is willing to sacrifice its young soldiers, national interests, and even its economy for Israel." "Israeli lobbies seem to believe that they are actually more powerful and certainly more important than the American constitution."

And two American intellectuals, John J. Mearsheimer and Stephen M. Walt, ask themselves and answer us: "Why is the United States willing to put aside its own security by putting the interests of another state first? We might assume that the link between the two countries is based on common strategic interests or very compelling moral imperatives. [...] however, neither of these two explanations justifies the significant amount of material and diplomatic support that the US provides to Israel. Instead, the thrust of US policy in the region is almost entirely due to US domestic politics, especially the activities of the 'Israel Lobby'."

With another charge, the *butcher* Ariel Sharon said the same: "We Jews control America and the Americans know it." He wasn't wrong, even if he was disgusting so frankly. We are in an era of high sensitivity to the erosion of democratic freedoms... Own.

۴

That is why, Weinthal reminds us painfully, that: "Biden's alleged interference in Israel's domestic affairs has been a source of distress among some Israelis and several Republican politicians aspiring to the presidency." (ibid.)

Biden cannot bear so much Israeli pain and vexation: "He told Herzog to send Netanyahu the conviction that America's commitment to Israel is firm and bulletproof." (ibid.)

And for reconciliation to be full, Biden has promised a "national plan against anti-Semitism."

The Double Alliance (which is actually a triple one, with the United Kingdom) remains unscathed.

Rebelión has published this article with the permission of the author through a <u>Creative Commons license</u>, respecting his freedom to publish it in other sources. Rebelion 08.09.2023