افغانستان آزاد ــ آزاد افغانستان

AA-AA چو کشور نباشد تن من مباد بدین بوم و بر زنده یک تن مــباد همه سر به سر تن به کشتن دهیم از آن به که کشور به دشمن دهیم

www.afgazad.com

 European Languages

 FEBRUARY 6, 2019

by HOWARD LISNOFF 07.02.2019

The Mother of All Bombs: U.S. Foreign Policy

Following the horrific destruction left in the wake of World War II, the United Nations in its seminal and founding document, the Charter of the United Nations, set out to prevent future wars among member nations. The Charter's admonition against war was also voiced in the lessons learned from the Nuremberg trials of Nazi war criminals in its condemnation of war: <u>"starting or waging a war against a territorial integrity, political independence or sovereignty of a state, or violation of international treaties or agreements."</u> are crimes against peace and "makes all war crimes possible."

The few and the wealthy of many nations are no longer constrained by rules that categorize civilized and enlightened behavior toward other nations such as Venezuela and Iran. They've had many nations in their crosshairs and have met with much "success." Their attacks against Venezuela's sovereignty are the final nail in the coffin of the endless wars, and the preparation for war, that are now all the rage among the sycophants of the <u>Four Horsemen of the Apocalypse</u>. Venezuela is absolutely no threat to the U.S., and therefore, the U.N. Charter prohibits the kinds of dangerous and lethal idiocy that the Trump administration is now orchestrating against Venezuela. Readers need to consider that presidents are viewed in a positive light when they are seen as acting in a presidential manner, i.e., threaten or incite war against other nations. Recall the popularity of the newly elected Trump when he ordered the use of the mother of all bombs against Afghanistan. The bipartisan talking heads in the U.S. loved that theatre (<u>"Trump Drops The Mother Of All Bombs On Afghanistan,"</u> New Yorker, April 14, 2017).

afgazad@gmail.com

زبانهای اروپائے

Even billionaire Michael Bloomberg got in on the act of Venezuela bashing in his attacks against mild reformers of the political system like Bernie Sanders and Elizabeth Warren:

To plutocrat Michael Bloomberg, Sanders is a "demagogue" preaching "unreason," while Sen. Elizabeth (D-Mass.) will transform the United States into a "non-capitalistic" system where "people are starving to death," like "Venezuela" ("What The Left Gets Wrong About Bernie Sanders And Elizabeth Warren," Huffington Post, January 31, 2019).

Critics of Nicolas Maduro's government are right to point out that some of the blame of the current state of domestic affairs in Venezuela is attributable to Maduro's handling of dissent among ordinary Venezuelans. Additional responsibility is undeniable in that the Venezuelan economy has not diversified to the extent that could have offset the declining price of oil. But, these serious failings do not rise to the level of the attacks against Venezuela by those with power and wealth and their errand "boys" who want a onedimensional political and economic world where their wildest whims of power and control go unchallenged.

The mass media have been the biggest and most vocal cheerleaders of regime change in Venezuela. Following upon the heels of Russia bashing from mass media outlets such as MSNBC, the New York Times and the <u>Guardian</u> have made Juan Guaido the poster boy of their own agendas in support of the few and the wealthy: "Si puede! ("Yes we can!") shouts rapturous crowd at Juan Guaido rally," (January 31, 2019). Quickly forgotten is the lead-up to the 2003 war in Iraq, based on lies that turned that nation into a quagmire of sectarian hatreds and civil war.

Will Maduro be dragged through the streets in the manner of Muammar Gaddafi (a scoundrel in his own right) following the U.S.-led bombing campaign that turned that nation (Libya) into yet another quagmire? The Trump administration has Cuba and Nicaragua next on its hit list with absolutely no basis. The rules of war specify that a direct threat must be established before any aggressive actions are taken against sovereign nations. No direct threats exist! We seem to have learned next to nothing from history and are condemned to repeat the egregious and lethal mistakes of the past! And why not, they're big money producers for some.